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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce two new schemes based on the global Hessenberg processes for computing approximate solutions to low-rank Sylvester tensor equations. We first construct bases for the matrix and extended matrix Krylov subspaces by applying the global and extended global Hessenberg processes. Then the initial problem is projected into the matrix or extended matrix Krylov subspaces with small dimensions. The reduced Sylvester tensor equation obtained by the projection methods can be solved by using a recursive blocked algorithm. Furthermore, we present the upper bounds for the residual tensors without requiring the computation of the approximate solutions in any iteration. Finally, we illustrate the performance of the proposed methods with some numerical examples.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{N} \in \mathbb{N}$. The multidimensional array $\mathcal{X}=\left(\mathcal{X}_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{N}}\right)(1 \leq$ $\left.i_{j} \leq I_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N\right)$ is called an $N$-mode tensors with $I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N}$ entries. There has been increasing research on tensors in recent years. For instance, Chang, Pearson, and Zhang [8] generalized the Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative matrices to the nonnegative tensors. Eigenvalues, eigenvectors, symmetric hyperdeterminants were defined by Qi [31] for the real supersymmetric tensors, and their properties were described. In [30], the restart techniques are described for the tensor infinite Arnoldi method.

In this work, we introduce two new projection methods for solving the low-rank Sylvester tensor equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X} \times_{1} A^{(1)}+\mathcal{X} \times_{2} A^{(2)}+\cdots+\mathcal{X} \times_{N} A^{(N)}=\mathcal{B} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrices $A^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{n} \times I_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots, N$, and right-hand side tensor $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ are given, and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ is an unknown tensor. The Sylvester tensor equation (1) has a unique solution if and only if $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{N} \neq 0$, for all $\lambda_{i} \in \sigma\left(A^{(i)}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, where $\sigma\left(A^{(i)}\right)$ is the spectral of matrix $A^{(i)}$ [9]. In this study, it is assumed that the Sylvester tensor equation has a unique solution. The Sylvester tensor equations are one of the famous problems arising from the discretization of a linear partial differential equation in high dimensions by the use of finite elements, finite differences, and spectral methods [27, 28, 37]. The Sylvester matrix equation

$$
A^{(1)} X+X A^{(2) T}=B
$$

is a special case of the Sylvester tensor equation (1), where $X$ is a 2 -mode tensor. Many iteration methods for computing approximate solutions for the Sylvester tensor equations (1) have been introduced in recent years. For example, Chen and Lu [9] proposed the GMRES method based on tensor form (GMRES-BTF) to solve the Sylvester tensor equation. Also, to speed up the convergence of the GMRES-BTF method, they proposed preconditioned GMRES-BTF. Beik, Saberi Movahed, and Ahmadi-Asl [4] presented some iterative methods based on the tensor format to solve the Sylvester tensor equations (1). In [33, 34], Saberi-Movahed et al. introduced the tensor format of restarted Simpler GMRES, (SGMRES-BTF $(m)$ ), to solve the Sylvester tensor equation and described an accelerating method in accordance with a modification of the generalized conjugate residual with inner orthogonalization (GCRO) method based on the tensor format. Bi-conjugate gradient (BiCG) and bi-conjugate residual (BiCR) methods as well as their preconditioned versions based on the tensor format, have been presented in [39]. The tensor form of the global least squares method is proposed in [24]. Huang, Xie, and Ma [22] proposed the tensor form of the GMRES method for solving a class of tensor equations via the Einstein product. Furthermore,
for the case in which the coefficient tensor is symmetric, they proposed the MINRES and SYMMLQ methods based on the tensor format. Dehdezi and Karimi [15] extended the conjugate gradient squared and the conjugate residual squared methods to solve the generalized coupled Sylvester tensor equations. In [16], the authors proposed a gradient based iterative method version for solving the tensor equations and presented a new preconditioner to accelerate the convergence rate of the proposed iterative methods. A projection method has been introduced in [3] to find approximations of linear systems in low-rank tensor format. Kressner and Tobler [25] proposed the Krylov subspace for the case in which the right-hand side tensor has a low-rank. Recently, Bentbib, El-Halouy, and Sadek [5] introduced a new projection method to compute approximate solutions for the low-rank Sylvester tensor equations. The extended Krylov-like methods were proposed in [6] to find the solutions for the low-rank Sylvester and Stein tensor equations. The block and extended block Hessenberg algorithms for solving the Sylvester tensor equation with low-rank right-hand side (1) were presented in [12]. Hessenberg based methods are among the popular methods in terms of the Krylov subspace methods, with less need for arithmetic operations and less storage space compared to the Arnoldi-based methods. The Hessenberg process constructs nonorthogonal bases for the associated Krylov subspace. The schemes based on the Hessenberg process have recently received great attention; see, for instance, [32, 35, 19, 17, 21, 12]. This motivated us to introduce two new projection schemes, employing the global Hessenberg process on the matrix Krylov subspaces. The main idea of this scheme is to project the problem onto a matrix or an extended matrix Krylov subspace. Then the reduced problem can be solved by using the recursive blocked algorithm [11]. Complexity consideration is given to show that the global and extended global Hessenberg processes are less expensive than the global and extended global Arnoldi ones.

We use the following notations. For the matrices $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we consider the following inner product $\langle X, Y\rangle_{F}=\operatorname{tr}\left(X^{T} Y\right)$, where $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ denotes the trace. The associated norm is the Frobenius norm denoted by $\|E\|_{F}$. The notation $X \perp_{F} Y$ means that $\langle X, Y\rangle_{F}=0$. The $n \times n$ identity matrix is denoted by $I^{(n)}$. Moreover, $e_{j}^{(k)}$ denotes the $j$ th canonical vector of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, and $0_{m \times n}$ denotes the $m \times n$ zero matrix.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some basic notations and definitions. In section 3, the global Hessenberg process with maximum strategy and an approach for solving (1) with a right-hand side tensor of a specific rank is described. The extended global Hessenberg approach is presented in section 4. The complexity of the new methods is considered in section 5 . Some numerical examples for evaluating the performance of our approaches are given in section 6. Finally, section 7 gives a brief conclusion.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this part, the notations and basic definitions of tensors are presented. Throughout this paper, we denote tensors by Euler script letters. Matrices and vectors are denoted by capital and lowercase letters, respectively. Also, the Kronecker product of matrices $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A \otimes B$ and the Kronecker product of tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$. Norm of an $N$ th order tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ is denoted by $\|\mathcal{X}\|_{F}$ and is defined as follows:

$$
\|\mathcal{X}\|_{F}=\sqrt{\langle\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}\rangle}=\sqrt{\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{I_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{I_{2}} \cdots \sum_{i_{N}=1}^{I_{N}} \mathcal{X}_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{N}}^{2}}
$$

Definition 1 ([13]). Denote the $N$-mode (matrix) product of a tensor $\mathcal{X} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ and a matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times I_{n}}$ by $\mathcal{X} \times{ }_{n} U$. It is of dimension $I_{1} \times$ $I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{n-1} \times J \times I_{n+1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}$ and defined as

$$
\left(\mathcal{X} \times{ }_{n} U\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n-1} j i_{n+1} \cdots i_{N}}=\sum_{i_{n}=1}^{I_{n}} \mathcal{X}_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{N}} u_{j i_{n}}
$$

Proposition $1([13])$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ be an $N$ th order tensor, let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times I_{m}}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times I_{n}}$, and let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{n} \times I_{n}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{m} B \times{ }_{n} C & =\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{n} C \times{ }_{m} B \\
\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{n} W \times{ }_{n} C & =\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{n} C W
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2 ([14]). Assume that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ is an $N$ th order tensor and that $\{U\}$ is a set of matrices $U_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{n} \times I_{n}}(n=1, \ldots, N)$. Then their product in all possible modes $(n=1,2, \ldots, N)$ is of size $I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}$ and defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{X} \times\{U\}=\mathcal{X} \times_{1} U_{1} \times_{2} U_{2} \cdots \times_{N} U_{N}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{X} \times\{U\}^{T}=\mathcal{X} \times_{1} U_{1}^{T} \times_{2} U_{2}^{T} \cdots \times_{N} U_{N}^{T}
$$

Definition 3 ([13]). . The outer product of two tensors $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{M}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{J_{1} \times J_{2} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{M} \times J_{1} \times J_{2} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$, with entries

$$
\mathcal{C}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{M} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}}=\mathcal{A}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{M}} \mathcal{B}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}}
$$

If $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{N}$ are $N$ vectors of sizes $I_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, then their outer product is an $N$ th order tensor of size $I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}$ and is given by

$$
v_{1} \circ \cdots \circ v_{N i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}}=v_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) \cdots v_{N}\left(i_{N}\right)
$$

Definition 4 ([13]). An $N$ th order tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ is called a rank one tensor if it can be written as the outer product of $N$ vectors $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{i}}(i=$ $1, \ldots, N)$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{X}=a_{1} \circ a_{2} \circ \cdots \circ a_{N}
$$

If a tensor can be written as a sum of $R$ rank one tensors, then it is called a rank $R$ tensor.

Definition 5 ([26]). The Kronecker product of two tensor $\mathcal{A}=a_{1} \circ a_{2} \circ \cdots \circ a_{N}$ and $\mathcal{B}=b_{1} \circ b_{2} \circ \cdots \circ b_{N}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}=\left(a_{1} \otimes b_{1}\right) \circ \cdots \circ\left(a_{N} \otimes b_{N}\right)
$$

Proposition $2([5])$. Assume that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ are $N$ th order tensors, that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{n} \times I_{n}}$, and that $B \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{n} \times J_{n}}$. Then

$$
(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \times_{n}(A \otimes B)=\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{n} A\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{n} B\right)
$$

Proposition 3 ([5]). The product of a rank one tensor $\mathcal{A}=a_{1} \circ a_{2} \circ \cdots \circ a_{N} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ and a set of matrices $U_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{n} \times I_{n}},(n=1, \ldots, N)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \times\{U\}=U_{1} a_{1} \circ \cdots \circ U_{N} a_{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 6 ([13]). The CP decomposition of an $N$ th order tensor $\mathcal{A} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ is written as follows:

$$
\mathcal{A}=\sum_{r=1}^{R} a_{r}^{(1)} \circ a_{r}^{(2)} \circ \cdots \circ a_{r}^{(N)},
$$

where $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{r}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{i}},(i=1, \ldots, N)$. Assume that $a_{r}^{(i)}, \quad(i=$ $1, \ldots, N)$, are normalized. Then the CP decomposition is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}=\sum_{r=1}^{R} \lambda_{r} a_{r}^{(1)} \circ a_{r}^{(2)} \circ \cdots \circ a_{r}^{(N)},
$$

where $\lambda_{r} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Definition 7 (Left inverse[35]). Consider $Z_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ as a matrix partitioned as follows:

$$
Z_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
Z_{1 k} \\
Z_{2 k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $Z_{1 k}$ is a $k \times k$ matrix. If the matrix $Z_{1 k}$ is nonsingular, then a left inverse of $Z_{k}$ is defined as follow

$$
Z_{k}^{L}=\left[Z_{1 k}^{-1}, 0_{k \times(n-k)}\right] .
$$

Definition 8 ([7]). Let $A=\left[A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{p}\right]$ and $B=\left[B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{l}\right]$ be matrices of dimension $n \times p s$ and $n \times l s$, respectively, where $A_{i}$ and $B_{j}(i=$ $1, \ldots, p ; j=1, \ldots, l)$ are $n \times s$ matrices. Then the $\diamond$-product of matrices $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A^{T} \diamond B$ is the $p \times l$ matrix defined by:

$$
\left(A^{T} \diamond B\right)_{i, j}=\left\langle A_{i}, B_{j}\right\rangle_{F}
$$

Some properties that are verified by the $\otimes$ - and $\diamond$-products are as follows:

1. $(D A)^{T} \diamond B=A^{T} \diamond\left(D^{T} B\right)$.
2. $A^{T} \diamond\left(B\left(L \otimes I^{(p)}\right)=\left(A^{T} \diamond B\right) L\right.$.

In what follows, we assume that the right-hand side $\mathcal{B}$ in (1) is of rank $R$. As known [13], by using the CP decomposition, $\mathcal{B}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=\sum_{r=1}^{R} b_{1}^{(r)} \circ \cdots \circ b_{N}^{(r)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B^{(i)}=\left[b_{i}^{(1)}, b_{i}^{(2)}, \ldots, b_{i}^{(R)}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{I_{i} \times R}, i=1, \ldots, N$, are the factor matrices. By simple calculations, we can rewrite the relation (3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{I}_{R} \times_{1} B^{(1)} \cdots \times_{N} B^{(N)}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\mathcal{I}_{R}$ denotes the identity tensor of $N$ th order of size $R \times R \times \cdots \times R$ with ones along the super-diagonal.

## 3 Global Hessenberg process with maximum strategy

The global Hessenberg process with maximum strategy was first presented in [17] by Heyouni to build a basis of the matrix Krylov subspace

$$
\mathcal{K}_{m}(A, V)=\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \gamma_{i} A^{i} V, \quad \text { where } \gamma_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, m-1\right\}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$. The global Hessenberg process with maximum strategy can be summarized in Algorithm 1 [17].

By employing Algorithm 1 with $m=m_{i}$ and $s=R$ for the pair $\left(A^{(i)}, B^{(i)}\right)$, we obtain $\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}+1}=\left[V_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, V_{m_{i}+1}^{(i)}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times\left(m_{i}+1\right) R}$ with $V_{k}^{(i)} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times R}$, for $k=1, \ldots, m_{i}+1$, and the upper Hessenberg matrix $\bar{H}_{m_{i}}=\left(h_{i, j}^{(i)}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\left(m_{i}+1\right) \times m_{i}}$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(i)} \mathbb{V}_{m_{i}}=\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}+1}\left(\bar{H}_{m_{i}} \otimes I^{(R)}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
Algorithm 1 The Global Hessenberg process with Maximum Strategy
    Input: Nonsingular matrix \(A\), initial block \(V\), and an integer \(m\).
    Determine \(i_{0}\) and \(j_{0}\) such that \(\left|V_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|V_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1}^{1 \leq j \leq i \leq n} ; \quad \beta=V_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\);
    \(V_{1}=V / \beta ; \quad l_{1}=i_{0} ; \quad c_{1}=j_{0}\).
    For \(k=1,2, \ldots, m\)
        \(U=A V_{k}\).
        For \(j=1,2, \ldots, k\)
            \(h_{j, k}=U_{l_{j}, c_{j}} ; \quad U=U-h_{j, k} V_{j}\).
        End For.
        Determine \(i_{0}\) and \(j_{0}\) such that \(\left|U_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|U_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq s}\)
        \(h_{k+1, k}=U_{i_{0}, j_{0}} ; \quad V_{k+1}=U / h_{k+1, k} ; \quad l_{k+1}=i_{0} ; \quad c_{k+1}=\bar{j}_{0}\).
    9. End For.
```

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}}\left(H_{m_{i}} \otimes I^{(R)}\right)+h_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}^{(i)} V_{m_{i}+1}^{(i)}\left(e_{m_{i}}^{\left(m_{i}\right)^{T}} \otimes I^{(R)}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{m_{i}}$ denotes the matrix obtained from $\bar{H}_{m_{i}}$ by deleting its last row. As [5], we consider an approximate solution of (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{m}=\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{R}\right) \times\left\{\mathbb{V}_{m}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{m}\right\}$ denotes a set of matrices $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{m_{1}}, \mathbb{V}_{m_{2}}, \ldots, \mathbb{V}_{m_{N}}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{m}$ is an $m_{1} \times \cdots \times m_{N}$ tensor satisfying the low-dimensional Sylvester tensor equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} H_{m_{i}}=\beta \mathcal{E}_{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{i}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{m}=\left(e_{1}^{\left(m_{1}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ e_{1}^{\left(m_{N}\right)}\right)$.
Proposition 4. Let $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ be the residual tensor corresponding to the approximate solution $\mathcal{X}_{m}$ of (1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} e_{m_{i}}^{\left(m_{i}\right)^{T}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{R} \times_{1} \mathbb{V}_{m_{1}} \cdots \times_{i} V_{m_{i}+1}^{(i)} \cdots \times_{N} \mathbb{V}_{m_{N}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_{m}$ is the solution to (8).
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 6 in [12].
Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{X}_{m}$ be an approximate solution of (1). Then the corresponding residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\left((2 n R-(m-1)) \frac{m}{2}\right)^{N}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|h_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}\right|^{2}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} e_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N} m_{i}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 7 in [12].
Furthermore, from the fact that

$$
\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m_{j}}\right\|^{2} \leq n m_{j} R, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\| \leq \sqrt{(n m R)^{N}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|h_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}\right|^{2}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} e_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bounds (10) and (11) are pessimistic. We propose the following approximation, which is derived heuristically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\| \approx E_{m}:=\sqrt[N]{(n m R)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|h_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}\right|^{2}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} e_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to Algorithm 2 in [5], the global Hessenberg process with the maximum strategy for the Sylvester tensor equation (1) can be summarized in Algorithm 2.

```
Algorithm 2
    1. Input: Coefficient matrices \(A^{(i)}, i=1, \ldots N\), and the right-hand side in low-rank
    representation,
    \(\mathcal{B}=\left[B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \ldots, B^{(N)}\right]\).
    2. Output: An approximate solution \(\mathcal{X}_{m}\) for equation (1).
    Choose a tolerance \(\epsilon>0\), integer parameters \(k_{i}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, N\). Set \(k_{i}=0, m_{i}=k_{i}^{\prime}\).
    For \(i=1: N\)
            For \(j=k_{i}+1: k_{i}+k_{i}^{\prime}\)
            Construct the basis \(\left[V_{k_{i}+1}, \ldots, V_{k_{i}+k_{i}^{\prime}}\right]\) and the matrix \(\mathbb{H}_{m_{i}}\) by
    Algorithm 1.
        End For
    End For
    9. Solve the low-dimensional equation \(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} \mathbb{H}_{m_{i}}=\beta \mathcal{E}_{m}\) by the recursive
    blocked algorithms presented in [11].
    10. Compute the estimated residual norm of \(\mathcal{R}_{m}\),
    i.e., \(E_{m}=\sqrt[N]{(n m R)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|h_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}\right|^{2}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} e_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|^{2}}\).
    11. If \(E_{m}>\epsilon\), set \(k_{i}=k_{i}+k_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i}=k_{i}+k_{i}^{\prime}\) for \(i=1, \ldots, N\), and go to step 4 .
    12. Compute the approximate solution by \(\mathcal{X}_{m}=\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{(R)}\right) \times_{1} \mathbb{V}_{m_{1}} \cdots \times_{N} \mathbb{V}_{m_{N}}\).
```


## 4 The extended global Hessenberg process

We first recall the extended matrix Krylov subspace. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$. The extended global Hesssenberg process corresponding to the pair $(A, V)$ is defined as follows [17]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{m}^{e}(A, V) & =\operatorname{span}\left(V, A^{-1} V, A V, \ldots, A^{m-1} V, A^{-m} V\right) \\
& =\mathcal{K}_{m}(A, V)+\mathcal{K}_{m}\left(A^{-1}, A^{-1} V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The algorithm proceeds by running one step of the Global Hessenberg process with $A$ and one step with $A^{-1}$, while maintaining orthogonalization among all generated vectors and the $n \times s$ matrices $Y_{j}=e_{l_{j}}^{(n)} e_{c_{j}}^{(s)^{T}}$ whose entries are zero except $\left(Y_{j}\right)_{l_{j}, c_{j}}=1$. The first two block vectors $V_{1}^{(1)}$ and $V_{1}^{(2)}$ are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}^{(1)}=V / r_{11}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{11}=V_{l_{1}, c_{1}}$ and $\left|V_{l_{1}, c_{1}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|V_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq \leq \leq s}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}^{(2)}=W / r_{2,2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W=A^{-1} V-r_{1,2} V_{1}^{(1)}, r_{1,2}=\left(A^{-1} V\right)_{l_{1}, c_{1}}, r_{2,2}=W_{l_{2}, c_{2}}$, and $\left|W_{l_{2}, c_{2}}\right|=$ $\max \left\{\left|W_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq i \leq s}$.

Let $V_{i}=\left[V_{i}^{(1)}, V_{i}^{(2)}\right]$ be the $i$ th $n \times 2 s$ block vector of $\mathbb{V}_{m}=\left[V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right]$ and let

$$
H_{i, j}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
h_{2 i-1,2 j-1} & h_{2 i-1,2 j} \\
h_{2 i, 2 j-1} & h_{2 i, 2 j}
\end{array}\right],
$$

be the $2 \times 2$ block matrix $(i, j)$ of the upper block Hessenberg matrix $\bar{H}_{m} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2(m+1) \times 2 m}$. Then we compute the two block vectors $V_{k+1}^{(1)}$ and $V_{k+1}^{(2)}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[V_{k+1}^{(1)} V_{k+1}^{(2)}\right]\left(H_{k+1, k} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)=\left[A V_{k}^{(1)}, A^{-1} V_{k}^{(2)}\right]-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[V_{j}^{(1)}, V_{j}^{(2)}\right]\left(H_{j, k} \otimes I^{(s)}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the entries of coefficients matrices $H_{k+1, k}$ and $H_{i, k}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$, will be determined such that the relations

$$
V_{k+1}^{(1)} \perp_{F} Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{2 k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(V_{k+1}^{(1)}\right)_{l_{2 k+1}, c_{2 k+1}}=1
$$

and

$$
V_{k+1}^{(2)} \perp_{F} Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{2 k+1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(V_{k+1}^{(2)}\right)_{l_{2 k+2}, c_{2 k+2}}=1
$$

hold for $k=1, \ldots, m$. The determination of indices $l_{2 k+1}, c_{2 k+1}$ and $l_{2 k+2}, c_{2 k+2}$ is similar to that of indices $l_{1}, c_{1}$ and $l_{2}, c_{2}$, respectively. The main steps of the extended global Hessenberg process algorithm to generate $\mathbb{V}_{m}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{m}$ may be summarized as follows.

```
Algorithm 3 The Extended Global Hessenberg process with Maximum
Strategy
    Input: Nonsingular matrix \(A\), initial block \(V\), and an integer \(m\).
    Determine \(i_{0}\) and \(j_{0}\) such that \(\left|V_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|V_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq s} ; \quad r_{1,1}=V_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\);
    \(V_{1}^{(1)}=V / r_{1,1} ; \quad l_{1}=i_{0} ; \quad c_{1}=j_{0} ;\)
3. \(W=A^{-1} V ; \quad r_{1,2}=W_{l_{1}, c_{1}}\).
4. \(W=W-r_{1,2} V_{1}^{(1)}, \quad\left|W_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|W_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq s} ; \quad r_{2,2}=W_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\);
    \(V_{1}^{(2)}=W / r_{2,2} ; \quad l_{2}=i_{0}, \quad c_{2}=j_{0}\).
    For \(k=1,2, \ldots, m\)
    \(W=A V_{k}^{(1)}\).
    For \(i=1, \ldots, k\)
            \(h_{2 i-1,2 k-1}=W_{l_{2 i-1}, c_{2 i-1}}, \quad W=W-h_{2 i-1,2 k-1} V_{i}^{(1)} ;\)
            \(h_{2 i, 2 k-1}=W_{l_{2 i}, c_{2 i}}, \quad W=W-h_{2 i, 2 k-1} V_{i}^{(2)}\).
        End For.
10. Determine \(i_{0}\) and \(j_{0}\) such that \(\left|W_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|W_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq s}\);
            \(h_{2 k+1,2 k-1}=W_{i_{0}, j_{0}} ; \quad V_{k+1}^{(1)}=W / h_{2 k+1,2 k-1} ; \quad l_{2 k+1}=i_{0} ; \quad c_{2 k+1}=j_{0}\).
        \(W=A^{-1} V_{k}^{(2)}\).
        For \(i=1, \ldots, k\).
            \(h_{2 i-1,2 k}=W_{l_{2 i-1}, c_{2 i-1}}, \quad W=W-h_{2 i-1,2 k} V_{i}^{(1)}\);
            \(h_{2 i, 2 k}=W_{l_{2 i}, c_{2 i}} ; \quad W=W-h_{2 i, 2 k} V_{i}^{(2)}\).
        End For.
        \(h_{2 k+1,2 k}=W_{l_{2 k+1}, c_{2 k+1}}, \quad W=W-h_{2 k+1,2 k} V_{k+1}^{(1)}\).
        Determine \(i_{0}\) and \(j_{0}\) such that \(\left|W_{i_{0}, j_{0}}\right|=\max \left\{\left|W_{i, j}\right|\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq s}\);
            \(h_{2 k+2,2 k}=W_{i_{0}, j_{0}} ; \quad V_{k+1}^{(2)}=W / h_{2 k+2,2 k} ; \quad l_{2 k+2}=i_{0} ; \quad c_{2 k+2}=j_{0}\).
17. End For.
```

Suppose that the matrix $\mathbb{P}_{m}$ is defined by $\left[Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{2 m}\right]$. Then

$$
\mathbb{P}_{m}^{T} \diamond \mathbb{V}_{m}=\mathbb{L}_{m}
$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 m \times 2 m}$ is a unit lower triangular matrix. So, we have $\mathbb{L}_{m_{i}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{m_{i}}^{T} \diamond\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}}\right)=I^{\left(2 m_{i}\right)}$. As in $[1]$, we consider $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{L}=\left(\mathbb{P}_{m}\left(\mathbb{L}_{m}^{-T} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)\right)^{T}=\left(\mathbb{L}_{m}^{-1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.I^{(s)}\right) \mathbb{P}_{m}^{T}$, as a left inverse for the $\diamond$-product, which verifies the relation $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{L} \diamond$ $\mathbb{V}_{m}=I^{(2 m s)}$. Using this matrix, we can state the following proposition.

Proposition 5. Let $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{m}=\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond\left(A \mathbb{V}_{m}\right)$, and suppose that $m$ steps of Algorithm 3 have been carried out. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
A \mathbb{V}_{m} & =\mathbb{V}_{m+1}\left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{m} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& =\mathbb{V}_{m}\left(\mathbb{T}_{m} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)+V_{m+1}\left(T_{m+1, m} E_{m}^{T} \otimes I^{(s)}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{i, j}$ is the $2 \times 2$ block $(i, j)$ of $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ and $E_{m}^{T}=\left[0_{2 \times 2(m-1)}, I^{(2)}\right]$, and $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ is obtained by removing the two last rows of $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{m}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the case for the classical Arnoldi process in [20].

As [36], in the following proposition, we derive some recursive relations, which can be used to significantly reduce the computational cost of the basic algorithm.

Proposition 6. Let $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{m}=\left[t_{:, 1}, \ldots, t_{:, 2 m}\right]$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{m}=\left[h_{:, 1}, \ldots, h_{:, 2 m}\right]$ be two $2(m+1) \times 2 m$ block upper Hessenberg matrices, let $\ell^{(k+1)}=\left(\ell_{i, j}\right)=H_{k+1, k}^{-1}$, and let $r_{1,1}, r_{1,2}, r_{2,2}$ be as defined in Algorithm 3. Then for the odd columns, we have

$$
t_{:, 2 j-1}=h_{:, 2 j-1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m,
$$

and for the even columns, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k=1) \quad t_{:, 2} & =\frac{1}{r_{2,2}}\left(r_{1,1} e_{1}^{2(m+1)}-r_{1,2} t_{:, 1}\right), \\
t_{:, 4} & =\left(e_{2}^{2(m+1)}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{1} h_{1: 2,2} \\
0_{(2 m-2) \times 2}
\end{array}\right]\right) \ell_{22}^{(2)}, \\
\rho^{(2)} & =\left(\ell_{11}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} \ell_{12}^{(2)}, \\
(1<k \leq m) \quad t_{:, 2 k} & =t_{:, 2 k}+t_{:, 2 k-1} \rho^{(k)}, \\
t_{:, 2 k+2} & =\left(e_{2 k}^{2(m+1)}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{T}_{k} h_{1: 2 k, 2 k} \\
0_{(2 m-2 k) \times 2}
\end{array}\right]\right) \ell_{22}^{(k+1)}, \\
\rho^{(k+1)} & =\left(\ell_{11}^{(k+1)}\right)^{-1} \ell_{12}^{(k+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Starting from (15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A V_{k}^{(1)} & =V_{k+1}\left(H_{k+1, k} e_{1}^{(2)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)+\mathbb{V}_{k}\left(\mathbb{H}_{k} e_{2 k-1}^{(2 k)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{V}_{k+1}\left(\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{k} e_{2 k-1}^{(2 k)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Pre-multiplying the above relation by $\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond A V_{k}^{(1)} & =\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond \mathbb{V}_{k+1}\left(\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{k} e_{2 k-1}^{(2 k)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond \mathbb{V}_{k+1}\right) \overline{\mathbb{H}}_{k} e_{2 k-1}^{(2 k)} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{(2 k+2)} \\
0_{(2 m-2 k) \times(2 k+2)}
\end{array}\right] \overline{\mathbb{H}}_{k} e_{2 k-1}^{(2 k)} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{k} \\
0_{(2 m-2 k) \times(2 k+2)}
\end{array}\right] e_{2 k-1}^{(2 k)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

Global and extended global Hessenberg processes for ...

$$
t_{:, 2 k-1}=h_{:, 2 k-1}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m
$$

From the lines 2 and 3 of Algorithm 3, we have

$$
r_{2,2} V_{1}^{(2)}=r_{1,1} A^{-1} V_{1}^{(1)}-r_{1,2} V_{1}^{(1)}
$$

Pre-multiplying this relation by $A$, we get

$$
r_{2,2} A V_{1}^{(2)}=r_{1,1} V_{1}^{(1)}-r_{1,2} A V_{1}^{(1)} .
$$

Pre-multiplying the above relation by $\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L}$, we have

$$
\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond A V_{1}^{(2)}\right)=\frac{1}{r_{2,2}}\left(r_{1,1}\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond V_{1}^{(1)}\right)-r_{1,2}\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond A V_{1}^{(1)}\right)\right)
$$

Consequently,

$$
t_{:, 2}=\frac{1}{r_{2,2}}\left(r_{1,1} e_{1}^{2(m+1)}-r_{1,2} h_{:, 1}\right)
$$

In addition, from (15), one gets

$$
V_{k}^{(2)}=A V_{k+1}\left(H_{k+1, k} e_{2}^{(2)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)+A \mathbb{V}_{k}\left(\mathbb{H}_{k} e_{2 k}^{(2 k)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)
$$

This relation implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} & \diamond A V_{k+1}\left(H_{k+1, k} e_{2}^{(2)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond V_{k}^{(2)}-\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond\left(A \mathbb{V}_{k}\left(\mathbb{H}_{k} e_{2 k}^{(2 k)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)\right. \\
& =e_{2 k}^{2(m+1)}-\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond A V_{k}\right) \mathbb{H} e_{2 k}^{(2 k)} \\
& =e_{2 k}^{2(m+1)}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{T}_{k} h_{1: 2 k, 2 k} \\
0_{(2 m-2 k) \times 2 k}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for the left-hand side of this relation, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} & \diamond A V_{k+1}\left(H_{k+1, k} e_{2}^{(2)} \otimes I^{(s)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A V_{k+1}^{(1)} & A V_{k+1}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{2 k+1,2 k} I^{(s)} \\
h_{2 k+2,2 k} I^{(s)}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =h_{2 k+1,2 k} \mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond A V_{k+1}^{(1)}+h_{2 k+2,2 k} \mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{L} \diamond A V_{k+1}^{(2)} \\
& =h_{2 k+1,2 k} t_{:, 2 k+1}+h_{2 k+2,2 k} t_{:, 2 k+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
t_{:, 2 k+2}=\frac{1}{h_{2 k+2,2 k}}\left(-h_{2 k+1,2 k} t_{:, 2 k+1}+e_{2 k}^{2(m+1)}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{k} h_{1: 2 k, 2 k} \\
0_{(2 m-2 k) \times 2 k}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

By using the inverse of the $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrix $H_{k+1, k}$ and defining $\rho^{(k+1)}=\left(\ell_{11}^{(k+1)}\right)^{-1} \ell_{12}^{(k+1)}$, this relation can be written as follows:

$$
t_{:, 2 k+2}=t_{:, 2 k+1} \rho^{(k+1)}+\left(e_{2 k}^{2(m+1)}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{k} h_{1: 2 k, 2 k} \\
\left.0_{(2 m-2 k) \times 2 k}\right)
\end{array}\right]\right) \ell_{22}^{(k+1)}
$$

which completes the proof.

### 4.1 Extended global Hessenberg process for low-rank Sylvester tensor equation

In this subsection, we consider the extended global Hessenberg process derived in the previous subsection for the pair $\left(A^{(i)}, B^{(i)}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$. By applying Algorithm 3 with $s=R$ to the pair $\left(A^{(i)}, B^{(i)}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$, the block matrices $\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}}=\left[V_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, V_{m_{i}}^{(i)}\right], i=1, \ldots, N$, are obtained and the following relation holds, for $i=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(i)} \mathbb{V}_{m_{i}} & =\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}+1}\left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{m_{i}} \otimes I^{(R)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}}\left(\mathbb{T}_{m_{i}} \otimes I^{(R)}\right)+V_{m_{i}+1}^{(i)}\left(T_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}^{(i)} E_{m_{i}}^{T} \otimes I^{(R)}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{m_{i}}^{T}=\left[0_{2 \times 2}, \ldots, 0_{2 \times 2}, I^{(2)}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2 m_{i}}$, and $\bar{T}_{m_{i}}=\left(T_{i, j}^{(i)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2\left(m_{i}+1\right) \times 2 m_{i}}$ is the restriction of $A^{(i)}$ to the extended global Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{m_{i}}^{e}\left(A^{(i)}, B^{(i)}\right)$. Using Line 1 of Algorithm 3, we have

$$
B^{(i)}=r_{11}^{(i)}\left(V_{1}^{(i)}\right)^{(1)}, \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

As in the case of the global Hessenberg process, for the low-rank Sylvester tensor equation (1), we seek an approximate solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{m}=\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{R}\right) \times\left\{\mathbb{V}_{m}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{m}\right\}$ denotes a set of matrices $\mathbb{V}_{m_{i}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2 R m_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, N$, and $\mathcal{Y}_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 m_{1} \times \cdots \times 2 m_{N}}$ satisfies the low-dimensional Sylvester tensor equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} \mathbb{T}_{m_{i}}=\beta_{m} \mathcal{E}_{m} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{N} r_{11}^{(i)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{m}=\left(e_{1}^{\left(2 m_{1}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ e_{1}^{\left(2 m_{N}\right)}\right)$. In this case, the residual corresponding to $\mathcal{X}_{m}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} T_{m_{i+1}, m_{i}}^{(i)} E_{m_{i}}^{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{R} \times_{1} \mathbb{V}_{m_{1}} \ldots \times_{i} V_{m_{i}+1}^{(i)} \ldots \times_{N} \mathbb{V}_{m_{N}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\| \leq \sqrt{((2 n R-2 m+1) m)^{N}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} T_{m_{i+1}, m_{i}}^{(i)} E_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\| \leq \sqrt{(2 n m R)^{N}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} T_{m_{i+1}, m_{i}}^{(i)} E_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N} m_{i}$. Finally, the following estimate is derived heuristically:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\| \approx E_{m}:=\sqrt[N]{(2 n m R)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} T_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}^{(i)} E_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the extended global Hessenberg process, the main part of Algorithm 2 remains the same except that the lines 6,9 , and 10 must be changed as follows:
6. Construct the basis $\left[V_{k_{i}+1}, \ldots, V_{k_{i}+k_{i}^{\prime}}\right]$ and the matrix $\mathbb{T}_{m_{i}}$ by Algorithm 3 and the formulas of Proposition 6.
9. Solve the low-dimensional equation $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} \mathbb{T}_{m_{i}}=\beta_{m} \mathcal{E}_{m}$ by the recursive blocked algorithms presented in [11].
10. Compute the estimated residual norm of $\mathcal{R}_{m}$, that is, $E_{m}=\sqrt[N]{(2 n m R)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\mathcal{Y}_{m} \times_{i} T_{m_{i}+1, m_{i}}^{(i)} E_{m_{i}}^{T}\right\|^{2}}$.

## 5 Complexity consideration

In this section, we present the required number of operations to solve the low-rank Sylvester tensor equation (1) for $I_{1}=I_{2}=\cdots=I_{N}$. Let $N n z$ denote the number of nonzero elements of matrix $A$, and suppose that the $L U$ decomposition of $A$ is available for computing the block matrix $W=A^{-1} V$. We compare the required operations for the extended global Hessenberg process and the extended global Arnoldi process [18]. Algorithm 3 requires $\left(2 n^{2} s+4 n s\right)$ operations for computing the block matrices $V_{1}^{(1)}$ and $V_{1}^{(2)}$. In addition, the iteration $k$ of this algorithm involves

- $V_{k+1}^{(1)}$, which requires $2 s N n z+n s(4 k+1)-4 k^{2}$ operations,
- $V_{k+1}^{(2)}$, which requires $2 n^{2} s+n s(4 k+3)-(2 k+1)^{2}$ operations.

Note that the global Arnoldi process (Algorithm 2 in [18]) requires $2 n^{2} s+10 n s$ operations for computing the global QR decomposition $\left[V, A^{-1} V\right]$, and the iteration $k$ of this process involves

- $U=\left[A V_{k}^{(1)}, A^{-1} V_{k}^{(2)}\right]$, which requires $2 s N n z+2 n^{2} s$ operations.
- $H_{i, j}=V_{i}^{T} \diamond U, U=U-V_{i}\left(H_{i, j} \otimes I^{(s)}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, k$, which require 16nsk operations.
- the global decomposition of $U$, that is, $U=V_{k+1}\left(H_{k+1, k} \otimes I^{(s)}\right)$, which requires 10 ns operations.

Therefore, for computing an approximation of the solution of Sylvester tensor equation (1), the total cost number of operations required to perform $m$ iterations of the extended global versions of Arnoldi and Hessenberg processes is approximately shown in Table 1. In addition, the total cost number of operations required to perform $m$ iterations of the global Hessenberg process (Algorithm 1) and the modified global Arnoldi process (Algorithm 2.2 in [23]) is presented in this table. According to Table 1, when solving the lowrank Sylvester tensor equation (1), the global and extended global Hessenberg processes are less expensive than the global and extended global Arnoldi ones. On the other hand, these Hessenberg processes use the maximum strategy. Hence they involve some data movement. However, these processes need slightly less storage than the Arnoldi processes per iteration.

Table 1: Operation count for the global and extended global versions of Hessenberg and Arnoldi processes.

| Process | Number of operations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Global Arnoldi | $N(2 m R N n z+(m+1)(2 m+3) n R-(m(m+1)) / 2)$ |
| Global Hessenberg | $N\left(2 m R N n z+(m+1)^{2} n R-(m(m+1)(2 m+1)) / 6\right)$ |
| Extended Global Arnoldi | $N\left(2 m R N n z+2(m+1) n^{2} R+(m+1)(8 m+10) n R\right)$ |
| Extended Global Hessenberg | $N\left(2 m R N n z+2(m+1) n^{2} R+4(m+1)^{2} n R-m\left(8 m^{2}+18 m+13\right) / 3\right)$ |

## 6 Numerical experiments

In this section, some test problems with $N=3$ are used to examine the robustness of two new presented methods for solving the low-rank Sylvester equation (1). All the numerical experiments were performed in doubleprecision floating-point arithmetic in MATLAB 2021a. The machine we have used is an $\operatorname{Intel}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Xeon}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CPU} \mathrm{E} 5-2680$ v4@2.40 GHz, 128 GB of RAM, using the Tensor Toolbox [2]. We employ the recursive blocked algorithms
introduced in [11] to solve the low-dimensional Sylvester tensor equations (8) and (20). The step size parameter $k^{\prime}$ associated with one cycle is equal to 3 . The algorithms stopped whenever $E_{m} \leq 10^{-7}$, where $E_{m}$ is the estimate of $\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|$. We also compare the numerical behavior of the methods in terms of the number of cycles (Cycle), the norm of residual $\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|$, the norm of error $\left\|\mathcal{X}^{*}-\mathcal{X}_{m}\right\|$, where $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ is the exact solution, and the CPU time in seconds (CPU time) required only for constructing the Krylov subspace basis and the solution of reduced Sylvester tensor equation. Note that we use the procedure $c p \_a l s(\mathcal{B}, R)$ from the toolbox [2] to compute the CP decomposition of the right-hand side $\mathcal{B}$. In Table 2, we report $\left\|\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{B}_{c p}\right\|$, where the $\mathcal{B}_{c p}$ is the CP decomposition corresponding to the right-hand side tensor $\mathcal{B}$, using the procedure $c p \_a l s(\mathcal{B}, R)$. The results of examples are reported in Table 2. For each example, the rank $R$ and the dimension $n$ are presented in this table. In Figure 1, by plotting the norm of residual $\left\|R_{m}\right\|_{F}$ versus the number of cycles, we display the convergence history of the global and extended global Arnoldi and Hessenberg algorithms for Examples 1-5.

Example 1. In this example, as in [5], we consider the matrices $A^{(i)}, i=$ $1,2,3$, corresponding to discretization of the operator

$$
L(u)=\Delta u-f_{1}(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+f_{2}(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+g(x, y)
$$

in the unit square $[0,1] \times[0,1]$ with Dirichlet homogeneous boundary conditions. The number of inner grid points in each direction is $n_{0}$ for the operator $L$. The discretization of the operator $L$ yields matrices extracted from the Lyapack package [29], using the command fdm and denoted as

$$
A^{(i)}=\operatorname{fdm}\left(n_{0}, f_{1}(x, y), f_{2}(x, y), g(x, y)\right), \quad i=1,2,3
$$

with $f_{1}(x, y)=e^{x y}, f_{2}(x, y)=\sin (x, y), g(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{2}, n=n_{0}^{2}$. The right-hand side tensor is chosen in such a way that the exact solution of the Sylvester tensor equation (1) has the form $\mathcal{X}^{*}=x_{1} \circ x_{2} \circ x_{3}$, with $x_{i}=$ $\operatorname{rand}(n, 1)$, for $i=1,2,3$.

Example 2. Assume that in the Sylvester tensor equation (1), the coefficient matrices are presented as [5]

$$
A^{(i)}=\text { gallery }\left({ }^{\prime} \text { poisson' }{ }^{\prime}, n_{0}\right), \quad i=1,2,3,
$$

where $n=n_{0}^{2}$. The right-hand side tensor is constructed such that the exact solution $\mathcal{X}$ of the Sylvester tensor equation (1) is a tensor with entries equal to one.

Example 3. Let $A^{(i)}, i=1,2,3$, be defined as [10]

$$
A^{(i)}=\operatorname{rand}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n})+\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{ones}(\mathrm{n}, 1) * \operatorname{alfa})
$$

where alfa $=8$ and the right-hand side tensor is constructed as in Example 1.

Example 4. Consider the Sylvester equation (1) with the coefficient matrices generated by [38]

$$
A^{(i)}=\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{rand}(\mathrm{n}-1,1),-1)+\operatorname{diag}(2+\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{rand}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}))), \quad i=1,2,3
$$

and the right-hand side tensor is constructed as in Example 1.
Example 5. The coefficient matrices $A^{(i)}, i=1,2,3$, for the Sylvester tensor equation (1) are defined as

$$
A^{(i)}(l, j)=\frac{1}{1+|l-j|}
$$

and the right-hand side tensor is constructed as in Example 1.
Table 2: Results of Examples 1-5.

| Example | Algorithm | $\left\\|\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{B}_{c p}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|\mathcal{X}^{*}-\mathcal{X}_{m}\right\\|$ | Cycle | CPU time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 1$n=400, R=4$ | Global Arnoldi | $3.655 e-08$ | $8.549 e-08$ | $9.903 e-11$ | 30 | 2.879 |
|  | Global Hessenberg | $3.655 e-08$ | $2.901 e-07$ | $2.667 e-10$ | 28 | 2.558 |
|  | Extended Global Arnoldi | $3.655 e-08$ | $4.197 e-08$ | $3.173 e-11$ | 7 | 0.261 |
|  | Extended Global Hessenberg | $3.655 e-08$ | $1.411 e-07$ | $1.162 e-10$ | 6 | 0.110 |
| Example 2$n=400, R=3$ | Global Arnoldi | $1.355 e-08$ | $1.406 e-08$ | $1.560 e-08$ | 14 | 0.138 |
|  | Global Hessenberg | $1.355 e-08$ | $1.573 e-08$ | $1.735 e-08$ | 14 | 0.229 |
|  | Extended Global Arnoldi | $1.355 e-08$ | $1.375 e-08$ | $1.603 e-08$ | 5 | 0.079 |
|  | Extended Global Hessenberg | $1.355 e-08$ | $4.528 e-08$ | $2.652 e-08$ | 4 | 0.058 |
| Example 3$n=500, R=3$ | Global Arnoldi | $1.532 e-05$ | $1.531 e-05$ | $3.731 e-07$ | 19 | 0.612 |
|  | Global Hessenberg | $1.532 e-05$ | $1.530 e-05$ | $3.729 e-07$ | 18 | 0.479 |
|  | Extended Global Arnoldi | $1.532 e-05$ | $1.531 e-05$ | $3.731 e-07$ | 9 | 0.429 |
|  | Extended Global Hessenberg | $1.532 e-05$ | $1.531 e-05$ | $3.731 e-07$ | 8 | 0.267 |
| Example 4$n=500, R=3$ | Global Arnoldi | $1.980 e-07$ | $1.980 e-07$ | $2.698 e-08$ | 5 | 0.049 |
|  | Global Hessenberg | $1.980 e-07$ | $1.984 e-07$ | $2.704 e-08$ | 4 | 0.046 |
|  | Extended Global Arnoldi | $1.980 e-07$ | $1.980 e-07$ | $2.698 e-08$ | 3 | 0.082 |
|  | Extended Global Hessenberg | $1.980 e-07$ | $1.980 e-07$ | $2.698 e-08$ | 3 | 0.077 |
| Example 5$n=500, R=3$ | Global Arnoldi | $1.038 e-08$ | $1.034 e-08$ | $2.567 e-09$ | 12 | 0.120 |
|  | Global Hessenberg | $1.038 e-08$ | $1.161 e-08$ | $2.622 e-09$ | 11 | 0.144 |
|  | Extended Global Arnoldi | $1.038 e-08$ | $1.042 e-08$ | $2.567 e-09$ | 5 | 0.115 |
|  | Extended Global Hessenberg | $1.038 e-08$ | $1.034 e-08$ | $2.566 e-09$ | 5 | 0.112 |

As can be seen from Table 2 and Figure 1, Global Arnoldi, Extended Global Arnoldi, and Global Hessenberg, Extended Global Hessenberg methods are shown a similar behavior. In addition, for all examples, the number of cycles of Extended Global Hessenberg is less than or equal to that of the other methods. In Examples 1, 2, 3, and 5, the CPU time of Extended Global Hessenberg method is less than the others. The results of Example 4 show that when the required number of cycles is small for Global Hessenberg method, this method outperforms the other methods in terms of CPU times.


Figure 1: Convergence history of the global and extended global Arnoldi and Hessenberg algorithms for Examples 1-5.

## 7 Conclusion

In this study, for computing the approximate solutions of the Sylvester tensor equation (1) with the low-rank right-hand side, two new projection methods based on the Hessenberg process were proposed. The theoretical results of these methods were presented and analyzed as well. The global and extended global Hessenberg algorithms were compared, in terms of CPU times, cycles, and the number of operations, with the global and extended global Arnoldi
algorithms, respectively. Numerical examples showed that the global and extended global Hessenberg algorithms are efficient and feasible for solving the low-rank Sylvester tensor equation (1).
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