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#### Abstract

In 1984, Abaffy, Broyden, and Spediacto (ABS) introduced a class of the so-called ABS algorithms to solve systems of real linear equations. Later, the scaled ABS algorithm, the extended ABS algorithm, the block ABS algorithm, and the integer ABS algorithm were introduced leading to various well-known matrix factorizations. Here, we present a generalization of ABS algorithms containing all matrix factorizations such as triangular, $W Z$, and $Z W$. We present the octant interlocking factorization and show that the generalized ABS algorithm is more general to produce the octant interlocking factorization.
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## 1 Introduction

The basic ABS class of algorithms was first introduced by Abaffy, Broyden, and Spedicato [1] for solving linear systems of equations. Let $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ denote the set of real numbers and the set of $m \times n$ real matrices, respectively. Consider the system of linear equations
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$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=b, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, m \leq n, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{rank}(A)$ is arbitrary. With $A=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right]^{T}$, the system is equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{T} x=b_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An ABS algorithm generates a sequence of approximations $x_{i}$ such that $x_{i+1}$ is a particular solution of the first $i$ equations and leads to the general solution of a linear system by computing a particular solution and a matrix with rows producing the null space of the coefficient matrix.

An ABS method starts with an arbitrary initial vector $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a nonsingular matrix $H_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Given $x_{i}$, a solution of the first $i-1$ equations, and $H_{i}$ a matrix with rows generating the null space of the first $i-1$ rows of the coefficient matrix, an ABS algorithm computes $x_{i+1}$ as a solution of the first $i$ equations and $H_{i+1}$, with rows generating the null space of the first $i$ rows of the coefficient matrix. Below, we give the class of basic ABS algorithms for solving systems of linear equations (13).

Algorithm 1 (Basic ABS algorithm).
(1) Give $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, arbitrary, $H_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, arbitrary and nonsingular. Set $i=1$ and $r=0$.
(2) Compute $\tau_{i}=a_{i}^{T} x_{i}-b_{i}$ and $s_{i}=H_{i} a_{i}$.
(3) If $\left(s_{i}=0\right.$ and $\left.\tau_{i}=0\right)$, then let $x_{i+1}=x_{i}, H_{i+1}=H_{i}$ and go to (6) (the $i$ th row of $A$ is dependent on its first $i-1$ rows). If $s_{i}=0$ and $\tau_{i} \neq 0$, then stop (the $i$ th equation and hence the system is incompatible).
(4) Compute the search vector $p_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=H_{i}^{T} f_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an arbitrary vector satisfying $a_{i}^{T} H_{i}^{T} f_{i} \neq 0$. Compute

$$
\alpha_{i}=\frac{\tau_{i}}{a_{i}^{T} p_{i}}
$$

and

$$
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\alpha_{i} p_{i} .
$$

(5) (Update the null space generator) Update $H_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i+1}=H_{i}-\frac{H_{i} a_{i} q_{i}^{T} H_{i}}{q_{i}^{T} H_{i} a_{i}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{i} \in R^{n}$ is an arbitrary vector satisfying $s_{i}^{T} q_{i} \neq 0$, and let $r=r+1$. (6) If $i=m$, then $\operatorname{Stop}\left(H_{m+1}^{T}\right.$ generates the null space of $A$ and $r$ is its rank) else let $i=i+1$ and go to (2).

Here, we recall some properties of ABS algorithms; for more details, see [3]. For simplicity, we assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has full row rank.

1. The system may be incompatible, which can be detected in step (3), when $s_{i}=0$ and $\tau_{i}=b_{i}-a_{i}^{T} x_{i} \neq 0$.
2. $H_{i} a_{i} \neq 0$ if and only if $a_{i}$ is linearly independent of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}$.
3. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}$ are linearly independent, then the search vectors $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{i}$ are linearly independent.
4. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}$ are linearly independent, then with $P_{i}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{i}\right)$, the implicit factorization $A P_{i}=L_{i}$ holds, where $L_{i}$ is nonsingular lower triangular. Different choices of the parameters $H_{1}, f_{i}$, and $q_{i}$ lead to different matrix factorizations.

Theorem 1 ( $L X$ factorization). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a nonsingular matrix and let $H_{1}=I$. Then, there exits an index set $k_{1}<k_{2}<\cdots<k_{n}$ such that $e_{k_{i}}^{T} H_{i} a_{i} \neq 0$, for $k=1, \ldots, n$, and the parameter choices $f_{i}=q_{i}=e_{k_{i}}$ are well-defined. Let $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}, B_{i}=\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{i}\right\}$, and $N_{i}=[n] \backslash B_{i}$. Then, we have the following properties:
(1) Every $k$ th row of $H_{i+1}$ with $k \in B_{i}$ is a null row.
(2) The vector $p_{i}$ has $n-i$ zero components; its $k_{i}$ th component is equal to one.
(3) For each $k \in N_{i}$, the $k$ th column of $H_{i+1}$ is the unit vector $e_{k}$, while for each $k \in B_{i}$, the $k$ th column of $H_{i+1}$ has zero components in the $j$ th position, with $j \in B_{i}$, implying that only $i(n-i)$ elements need to be computed for $H_{i+1}$.

Proof. See [17].
Corollary 1 (LU factorization). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a strongly nonsingular matrix (that is, the determinants of all the principal submatrices are nonzero) and let $H_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the identity matrix. Then
(1) the sequence $\left\{H_{i}\right\}$, where $q_{i}=\frac{e_{i}}{e_{i}^{T} H_{i} a_{i}}$, is well-defined.
(2) the first $i$ rows of $H_{i+1}$ are identically zero and the last $n-i$ columns of $H_{i+1}$ are equal to the last $n-i$ columns of $H_{i}$.
(3) $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is an upper triangular matrix.

Proof. See [3, Theorems 6.3 and 6.5].
The scaled ABS method produces a matrix factorization $V^{T} A P=L$, where $L$ is a lower triangular matrix. Choices of the parameters $H_{1}, f_{i}$, and $q_{i}$ determine particular methods within the class so that various matrix factorizations are derived; see $[1,3,4,18,16,17,19]$.

Obviously, the original system (13) is equivalent to the following scaled system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{T} A x=V^{T} b \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$, the scale matrix, is an arbitrary nonsingular $m$ by matrix. By replacing $a_{i}$ with $A^{T} v_{i}$ in Algorithm 1, a scaled ABS algorithm is obtained. Chen and Zhou [5] proposed a generalization of the ABS algorithms, named as extended ABS (EABS) class of algorithms, which differs from the ABS
class of algorithms only in updating the Abaffian matrices $H_{i}$. The block ABS algorithm was developed by Abaffy and Galantai [2]. Let $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$ be positive integer numbers such that $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{s}=n$. Consider a block form of $A$ as $A=\left(A_{1}^{T}, \ldots, A_{s}^{T}\right)^{T}$, where $A_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i} \times n}$, for $i=1, \ldots, s$. The Block ABS methods may be formulated as follows:
(1) Compute $S_{i}=H_{i} A_{i}^{T}$.
(2) Determine $F_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_{i}}$ such that $F_{i}^{T} S_{i}$ is nonsingular and set $P_{i}=H_{i}^{T} F_{i}$.
(3) Update the Abaffian matrix $H_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i+1}=H_{i}-H_{i} A_{i}^{T}\left(Q_{i}^{T} H_{i} A_{i}^{T}\right)^{-1} Q_{i}^{T} H_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_{i}}$ is an arbitrary matrix such that $S_{i}^{T} Q_{i}$ is nonsingular. Esmaeili, Mahdavi-Amiri, and Spedicato [7] presented the integer ABS class of algorithms for solving linear Diophantine equations, developed conditions for the existence of an integer solution, and determined all integer solutions [6]. An extension of the integer ABS algorithm using the scaled ABS algorithms was developed by Spedicato et al. [16]. A new class of extended integer ABS algorithms for solving linear Diophantine systems by computing an integer basis for the null space while controlling the growth of intermediate results was developed by Khorramizadeh and Mahdavi-Amiri [13]. Golpar-Raboky and Mahdavi-Amiri [10, 11, 12, 14] presented new ideas for updating the $H_{i}$ leading to the development of a new class of extended integer ABS algorithms. They also showed how to compute the Smith normal form of an integer matrix using the scaled integer ABS algorithm [10].

For the (not necessarily independent) rows of $H_{i+1}$ to be a generator of null space of the first $i$ rows of $A$, the extended integer ABS algorithms can always be tuned to produce an integer basis for the integer null space of the coefficient matrix; see Esmaeili, Mahdavi-Amiri, and Spedicato [6].

## 2 Generalized ABS class of algorithms

The central problem of linear algebra is the solution of linear system of equations. Direct methods used to solve linear systems of equations are based on factorizations of the coefficient matrix into factors to be easy for use in solving the equations. The Gaussian elimination with the corresponding matrix decomposition, the $L U$ decomposition, is the most useful method for solving linear equations. The method is well-defined if and only if $A$ is strongly nonsingular, that is, all principal submatrices, $A(1: k, 1: k)$, for all $k$, are nonsingular. The parallel implicit elimination (PIE) method and the WZ factorization for solving large systems, suitable for parallel computers, have been introduced by Evans [15].

Definition 1. Let $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $\alpha_{i} \subset[n]$, for $i=1, \ldots, s$. We say $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ is an index set of $[n]$ if and only if $\alpha_{i} \bigcap \alpha_{j}=\emptyset$, whenever $i \neq j$, and $\cup_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}=[n]$. We denote the cardinality of $\alpha$ by $|\alpha|$.

Let $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|=n_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, s$. Then, an index set $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ is a block permutation vector, when the $i$ th block size is equal to $n_{i}$ and $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two index sets of $[n]$. Let $A(:, b)$ denote the $m \times|b|$ submatrix of $A$ containing the columns specified by $b$ and let $A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right)$, the $(i, j)$ th block of $A$, denote the $\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \times\left|\beta_{j}\right|$ submatrix of $A$ composed of the rows specified by $\alpha_{i}$ and the columns specified by $\beta_{j}$. If $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{j}$, then $A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right)$ is a principal submatrix of $A$ and if $\alpha_{i}=$ $\beta_{j}=\{1, \ldots, k\}, 1 \leq k \leq \min \{m, n\}$, then $A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right)$ is a leading principal submatrix of $A$.

Definition 2. Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$, let $t=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$ be two index sets of $n$, and let $A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq s$, denote the $(i, j)$ th block of $A$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \subset \cdots \subset A\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}, \cup_{j=1}^{s} \beta_{j}\right)=A \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nested submatrix sequence of $A$. We say $A$ is $(\alpha, \beta)$-block strongly nonsingular if and only if $A\left(\cup_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}, \cup_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i}\right)$, for $k=1, \ldots, s$, are nonsingular.

Let $P_{\alpha}$ and $P_{\beta}$ denote the permutation matrices moving the rows and columns of $A$ based on the index sets $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha, \beta}=P_{\alpha}^{T} A P_{\beta} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ is $(\alpha, \beta)$-block strongly nonsingular if and only if $A_{\alpha, \beta}$ is strongly nonsingular.

Note that Theorem 1 provides a relationship between elimination methods and the ABS method. The search vectors $p_{i}$ in step (4) of Algorithm 1 are aligned sequentially. The generalized elimination method and the ABS method do not produce the same matrix factorizations, generally. In the next section, we present a generalization of the ABS algorithms containing all matrix factorizations produced by the generalized elimination method such as triangular, $W Z$, and $Z W$. The generalized ABS method is more general to produce some new matrix factorizations such as the $S O$ and $O S$ factorizations which cannot be produced by the generalized elimination method.

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, let $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$ be two index sets, and let $A_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \times n}$ be such that $A_{i}=A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right)$.

Algorithm 2 (Generalized ABS algorithm).
Input: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, an arbitrary nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, an arbitrary nonsingular matrix $H_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and two index sets $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$.
For $i=1, \ldots, s$ do
(1) Compute $S_{i}=H_{i} A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right)$.
(2) Determine $F_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \beta_{i}}$ such that $F_{i}^{T} S_{i}$ is nonsingular and set $P\left(:, \alpha_{i}\right)=$ $H_{i}^{T} F_{i}$.
(3) Update the approximation for the solution by

$$
x\left(\alpha_{i+1}\right)=x\left(\alpha_{i}\right)-P\left(:, \alpha_{i}\right) d_{i}
$$

where $d_{i}$ is the unique solution of the following nonsingular system:

$$
A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right) P\left(:, \alpha_{i}\right) d_{i}=r_{i}
$$

and $r_{i}=A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right) x_{i}-\beta_{i}$.
(3) Update the Abaffian matrix $H_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i+1}=H_{i}-H_{i} A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right)\left(Q_{i}^{T} H_{i} A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right)\right)^{-1} Q_{i}^{T} H_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \alpha_{i}}$ is an arbitrary matrix so that $S_{i}^{T} Q_{i}$ is nonsingular. end for.
(4) Let $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ and compute $C=A P$.

Algorithm 2 provides a null space characterization for the matrix $A$. From Algorithm 2, we have $H_{i+1} a_{j}=0$, for $j \in \cup_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k}$, where $a_{j}^{T}$ is the $j$ th row of $A$. According to (8), Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, we have the following results.

Theorem 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$ be two index sets. Then, $A$ is $(\alpha, \beta)$-block strongly nonsingular if and only if $I(:, b(i))^{T} H_{i} A(t(i),:)^{T}$, for $i=1, \ldots, s$, are nonsingular.

Theorem 3. Let $Q_{i}=I\left(:, \beta_{i}\right)$, and let $H_{i+1}$ be defined by (9). Then, the following properties hold:
(a) The $j$ th row of $H_{i+1}$ is zero, for $j \in \cup_{k=1}^{i} b(k)$.
(b) The $j$ th column of $H_{i+1}$ is equal to the $j$ th column of $H_{1}$, for $j \notin \cup_{k=1}^{i} b(k)$.

Proof. See [3, Theorem 6.3].
Now, consider the following definition.
Definition 3. $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ is a unimodular matrix if and only if $|\operatorname{det}(A)|=1$.
Note that, $A$ is unimodular if and only if $A^{-1}$ is unimodular.
Remark 1. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. If $A\left(\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}\right)$, for $k=1, \ldots, s$, are unimodular, then Algorithm 2 produces an integer matrix factorizatin ( $B$ and $C$ are integer matrices).

Algorithm 2 produces a matrix factorization $A P=C$. Different choices of the parameters $Q, F, t$ and $b$ lead to different matrix factorizations. For $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=i, Q_{i}=F_{i}=e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, Algorithm 2 produces an $L U$ factorization. Next, we show how to choose the parameters in Algorithm 2 to compute the $W Z$ and $Z W$ factorizations. We also discuss the octant interlocking factorization method and present two new factorizations named as the $O S$ and $S O$ factorizations.

## 3 Quadrant interlocking factorization

A direct method, called the $W Z$ factorization, for solving linear systems of equations $A x=b$ was introduced by Evans and Hotzopoulos [9]. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix. The $W Z$ factorization of [8] expresses $A$ as $A=W Z$, where $W$ and $Z$ have the following forms:

$$
W=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\bullet & \circ & \circ & \circ & \bullet  \tag{10}\\
\bullet \bullet & \circ & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \circ & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \circ & \circ & \circ & \bullet
\end{array}\right), Z=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\circ & \bullet & \bullet & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \bullet & \circ \\
\circ & \circ \\
\circ & \bullet & \bullet & \circ \\
\bullet \bullet \bullet & \bullet & \bullet
\end{array}\right), X=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bullet & \circ & \circ & \circ & \bullet \\
\circ & \bullet & \circ & \bullet & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \bullet & \circ & \bullet & \circ \\
\bullet & \circ & \circ & \circ & \bullet
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the empty bullets stand for zero and the other bullets stand for possible nonzeros.

The matrix $W$ is called a unit $W$-matrix if in addition, $w_{i i}=1$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and $w_{i, n-i+1}=0$, for $i \neq(n+1) / 2$, when $n$ is odd. The transpose of a (unit) $W$-matrix is called a (unit) $Z$-matrix and vise versa. Moreover, $A$ matrix which is both a $Z$ - and a $W$-matrix is called an $X$-matrix.

Note that, we assume that $A$ is nonsingular and of an even size $n$ (without loss of generality) and that $s=\frac{n}{2}$.

Theorem 4. Let $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix and let $n$ be even. Then $A$ has a $W Z$ factorization if and only if the nested submatrices $A(1: k, n-k+1: n, 1$ : $k, n-k+1: n)$ are invertible, for $k=1, \ldots, n / 2$.
Proof. See proof of of [15, Theorem 2].
Now, we show how to choose the parameters of the generalized ABS algorithm to compute the $W Z$ factorization.
Consider two index sets $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$ such that $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=\{k, n-k+1\}$ and $F_{k}=Q_{k}=\left[e_{k}, e_{n-k+1}\right]$, for $k=1, \ldots, s$. Then, $P$ is a $Z$-matrix, $C$ is a $W$-matrix, and Algorithm 2 leads to a $W Z$ factorization of $A$.

Remark 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. If the nested submatrices $A(1: k, n-k+1$ : $n, 1: k, n-k+1: n)$ are unimodular, for $k=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$, then $A$ has an integer WZ factorization.

Consider two equal index sets $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$ such that $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=\{s-k+1, s+k\}$ and $F_{k}=Q_{k}=\left[e_{s-k+1}, e_{s+k}\right]$, for $k=1, \ldots, s$. Then, $P$ is a $W$-matrix, $C$ is a $Z$-matrix, and Algorithm 2 leads to a $W Z$ factorization of $A$.

Theorem 5. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ has a $Z W$ factorization if and only if the nested submatrices $A(s-k+1: s+k, s-k+1: s+k)$ are invertible, for $k=1, \ldots, s$.

Remark 3. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. If the nested submatrices $A(s-k+1: s+k, s-$ $k+1: s+k$ ) are unimodular, for $k=1, \ldots, s$, then $A$ has an integer $Z W$ factorization.

## 4 Octant interlocking factorization

Here, we first define octant matrices and then present the Octant Interlocking Factorization (OIF). We provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of OIF and show how to choose the parameters of the generalized ABS algorithm to compute the factorization.

Definition 4. We say $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an octant matrix, if it has one of the following structures:

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet  \tag{11}\\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \circ & \circ & \circ & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet
\end{array}\right), O=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\circ & \circ & \bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \circ \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\circ & \bullet & \bullet & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \bullet & \circ & \circ
\end{array}\right),
$$

with the empty bullets standing for zero and the other bullets standing for possible nonzeros. The matrices in (11) are called the $S$-matrix and the $O$-matrix, respectively.

Definition 5. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We say that $A$ has an octant interlocking factorization, if $A=B C$ such that $B$ and $C$ are octant matrices.

The transpose and the inverse of an $S$-matrix are an $S$-matrix and an $O$ matrix, respectively, as well as the transpose and the inverse of an $O$-matrix are an $O$-matrix and an $S$-matrix, respectively.

Note that, we assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular, that $n$ is an even number, and that $s=\frac{n}{2}$.
Consider two index sets $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$ such that $\alpha_{k}=\{k, n-k+1\}, \beta_{k}=\{s-k+1, s+k\}$, and $Q_{k}=F_{k}=\left[e_{k}, e_{n-k+1}\right]$. Then, $C$ is an $O$-matrix and $P$ is an $S$-matrix.

Now, let $\beta_{k}=\{k, n-k+1\}$, let $\alpha_{k}=\{s-k+1, s+k\}$, and let $Q_{k}=$ $F_{k}=\left[e_{s-k+1}, e_{s+k}\right]$. Then, $P$ is an $O$-matrix and $C$ is an $S$-matrix.

Theorem 6. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ has an $O S$ factorization if and only if the nested submatrices $A(s-k+1: s+k, 1: k, n-k+1: n)$ are invertible, for $k=1, \ldots, s$.

Remark 4. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. If the nested submatrices $A(s-k+1: s+k, 1$ : $k, n-k+1: n$ ), for $k=1, \ldots, s$, are unimodular, then $A$ has an integer $O S$ factorization.

## 5 Generalized ABS algorithm and matrix factorizations

An elimination method is a sequence of elementary row or column operations to divide a matrix into parts with partial zeroing of columns or rows of the matrix leading to a matrix factorization implicitly.

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right\}$ be two index sets of $n$. Algorithm 2 computes a matrix factorization $A P=C$, with parameter choices $Q_{i}=F_{i}=I\left(:, \alpha_{i}\right)$ and $P\left(:, \beta_{i}\right)=H_{i}^{T} F_{i}$ as follows:

$$
A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right) H_{k}^{T}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

and

$$
C\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{k}\right)=A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right) P\left(:, \beta_{k}\right)=A\left(\alpha_{i},:\right) H_{k}^{T} I\left(:, \alpha_{i}\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

This means that in the $k$ th step the generalized ABS algorithm performs a partial zeroing such that the elements of the submatrix $C$ corresponding to the columns specified by $\beta_{k}$ and the rows specified by $\alpha_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, turn to zero. Here, we set the parameters in Algorithm 2 to present the associated matrix factorizations $C=A P$.

We consider different choices for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that all the blocks $A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}\right)$ turn to be $1 \times 1$ and present the associated matrix factorizations. First, we define two index sets. Let $J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\}$ with the $J_{i}$ as follows:

$$
j_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{i+1}{2} & \text { if } i \text { is odd }  \tag{12}\\ n-\frac{i}{2}+1 & \text { if } i \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

We define the index set $K=\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$ as follows. If $n$ is an even number, then define

$$
k_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}+1 & \text { if } i \text { is odd }  \tag{13}\\ \frac{n}{2}+\frac{i}{2} & \text { if } i \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

if $n$ is an odd number, then define

$$
k_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i}{2} & \text { if } i \text { is even }  \tag{14}\\ \frac{n+1}{2}+\frac{i-1}{2} & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

If $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=i$, then $C$ is a lower triangular and $P$ is an upper triangular matrix. For $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=n-i+1, C$ is an upper triangular and $P$ is a lower triangular matrix. The different cases are noted in Figure 1

In Figure 2, we give a MATLAB code for Algorithm 2, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $t=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$ and $b=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ are two index sets, and all the blocks $A\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$ are $1 \times 1$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

| $\alpha_{i}=i, \beta_{i}=k_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}=i, \beta_{i}=j_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}=n-i+1, \beta_{i}=k_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}=n-i+1, \beta_{i}=j_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C <br> P | C <br> P |  | C <br> P |
| $\alpha_{i}=k_{i}, \beta_{i}=n-i+1$, | $\alpha_{i}=k_{i}, \beta_{i}=i_{1}$ | $\alpha_{i}=j_{i}, \beta_{i}=i_{1}$ | $\alpha_{i}=j_{i}, \beta_{i}=n-i+1$, |
|  | C <br> P |  |  |
| $\alpha_{i}=j_{i}, \beta_{i}=j_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}=k_{i}, \beta_{i}=k_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}=j_{i}, \beta_{i}=k_{i}$, | $\alpha_{i}=k_{i}, \beta_{i}=j_{i}$ |
|  |  | C <br> P |  |

Figure 1: Matrix factorizations associated with different index sets
Function $\quad[\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}]=\operatorname{GENABS}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b})$
clc
$[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}]=\operatorname{size}(\mathrm{A}) ;$
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{eye}(\mathrm{n}) ;$
$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{zeros}(\mathrm{n}) ;$
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{E} ;$
for $\mathrm{i}=1: \mathrm{n}$
$\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{E}(:,[\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{i})]) ;$
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{E}(:,[\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i})]) ;$
$\mathrm{P}(:,[\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i})])=\mathrm{H}^{\prime} * \mathrm{q} ;$
$\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{e}^{\prime} * \mathrm{~A} * \mathrm{H}^{\prime} * \mathrm{q} ;$
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}-\left(\mathrm{H} * \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} * \mathrm{e} * \operatorname{inv}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right) * \mathrm{q}^{\prime} * \mathrm{H}\right) ;$
$\mathrm{end} ;$
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} * \mathrm{P} ;$
end

Figure 2: MATLAB code for Algorithm 2

## 6 Numerical illustrations

We give illustrations of our proposed algorithms to compute the QIF and OIF factorizations. The algorithms were implemented using Matlab R2020a.

Example 1. Consider the following matrix:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 15 & 21 \\
1 & 4 & 10 & 20 & 35 & 56 \\
1 & 5 & 15 & 35 & 70 & 126 \\
1 & 6 & 21 & 56 & 126 & 252
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}=\{s-k+1, s+k\}$, for $k=1,2,3$ and $s=\frac{n}{2}=3$. Then, the generalized elimination algorithm, Algorithm 1, produces $P$ as a $W$-matrix and $C$ as a $Z$-matrix, and we have a $Z W$ factorization as follows:

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2.5 & -8 \\
-1 & 0.3 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 9 \\
0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4.8 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0.2 & 0.3 & 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.2 \\
0 & 0.2 & 3 & 4 & 0.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 6 & 10 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 10 & 20 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.5 & 15 & 35 & 2.5 & 0 \\
0.2 & 1.8 & 21 & 56 & 10.5 & 1.2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example 2. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
13.8966 & 15.3103 & 14.1873 & 2.3800 & 15.0253 & 10.9443 \\
6.3420 & 15.9040 & 15.0937 & 9.9673 & 5.1019 & 2.7725 \\
19.0044 & 3.7375 & 5.5205 & 19.1949 & 10.1191 & 2.9859 \\
0.6889 & 9.7953 & 13.5941 & 6.8077 & 13.9815 & 5.1502 \\
8.7749 & 8.9117 & 13.1020 & 11.7054 & 17.8181 & 16.8143 \\
7.6312 & 12.9263 & 3.2522 & 4.4762 & 19.1858 & 5.0856
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\alpha_{k}=\{s-k+1, s+k\}$ and $\beta_{k}=\{k, n-k+1\}$, for $k=1,2,3$ and $s=3$. By the generalized ABS algorithm, Algorithm 2, we have

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.0000 & -3.3515 & -11.9955 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -0.7279 & 4.0998 & 13.5268 & -0.8931 & 0 \\
0 & 0.0146 & -0.8436 & 1.3279 & -0.2703 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1.2767 & -5.7630 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
14.1873 & 5.0185 & -0.4413 & -64.2317 & 1.7108 & 2.3800 \\
15.0937 & 5.0633 & 0 & 0 & -11.0731 & 9.9673 \\
5.5205 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 19.1949 \\
13.5941 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.8077 \\
13.1020 & -0.4537 & 0 & 0 & 2.9522 & 11.7054 \\
3.2522 & 10.6245 & -50.6286 & -210.6023 & 15.0712 & 4.4762
\end{array}\right)
$$

We realize that $P$ is an $O$-matrix, that $C$ is an $S$-matrix, and that $A P=C$.

## 7 Concluding remarks

We presented a generalized elimination approach for solving linear systems. We established the necessary and sufficient conditions under which the proposed method is applicable. We showed that different matrix factorizations could be derived from the method such as the $L U, W Z$, and $Z W$ factorizations. We also proposed the octant interlocking factorization to factorize a nonsingular matrix into octant matrices. We presented a generalized $A B S$ algorithm and showed how to choose the parameters of the algorithm to compute the $W Z$ and the $Z W$ factorizations as well as the octant interlocking factorization of real and integer matrices.
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